

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 09-81223-CIV-HURLEY/HOPKINS

JONATHAN E. PERLMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

SHOWCASE INVESTMENT  
GROUP, INC.,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE — LACK OF SERVICE

**THIS CAUSE** comes before the court *sua sponte* upon review of the case file. On February August 21, 2009, plaintiff filed the complaint in this case. As of the date of this order, more than 120 days have passed since the filing of the plaintiff's complaint. A review of the docket sheet indicates that service has not yet been made on the defendants. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m):

If a defendant is not served within 120 days after the complaint is filed, the court – on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff – must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. This subdivision (m) does not apply to service in a foreign country under Rule 4(f) or 4(j)(1).

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that:

1. Within **TEN (10) DAYS** of entry of this order, the plaintiff shall **SHOW CAUSE**, if any there be, why this case should not be dismissed pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 4(m) for lack of service. Failure to respond to this order will result in dismissal without further notice.

Order to Show Cause  
Perlman v. Parker et al.  
Case No. 09-81223-CIV-HURLEY/HOPKINS

**DONE** and **SIGNED** in Chambers at West Palm Beach, Florida, this 26<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2010.

  
Daniel T. K. Hurley  
United States District Judge

*Copies provided to counsel of record*